MODELING ECOHYDROLOGICAL CONTROLS ON ECOSYSTEM ENERGY BALANCE AND GHG EXCHANGE OF A TROPICAL BOG

Palanga Karaya Peat Swamp forest, Indonesia Mohammad (Symon) Mezbahuddin¹, Robert Grant¹ and Takashi Hirano²

¹Department of Renewable Resources, University of Alberta, AB, Canada ² Faculty of Agriculture, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan

Mezbahuddin, M., R.F Grant and T. Hirano. 2014. Modelling effects of seasonal variation in water table depth on net ecosystem CO₂ exchange of a tropical peatland. *Biogeosciences*. 11: 577-599. doi:10.5194/bg-11-577-2014.

Mezbahuddin, M., R.F Grant and T. Hirano. 2015. How hydrology determines seasonal and interannual variations in water table depth, surface energy exchange and water stress in a tropical peatland: modelling vs. measurements. *Journal of Geophysical Research* - Biogeosciences. 120: 2132–2157.

Surface gas exchange is coupled with convective – dispersive transfers of gases and solutes through soil profile.



2

These transfers govern⁹concentrations of reactants and products in oxidation-reduction reactions

These oxidation-reduction reactions are conducted by diverse microbial functional types in several substrate-microbe complexes e.g. <u>plant litter</u>



Microbial functional types in *ecosys*

• Represented as: OMC(M,N,K,L,NY,NX) where:

M = kinetic fraction	1 = labile, 2 = resistant 3 = storage	
N = functional type:	heterotrophs (K=0-4) autotrophs (K=5)	1 = obligate aerobe 2 = facultative anaerobe (denitrifier) 3 = fungi 4 = obligate anaerobe (fermenter) 5 = acetotrophic methanogen 6 = diazotrophic aerobe (N_2 fixer) 7 = diazotrophic anaerobe (N_2 fixer) 1 = NH_3 oxidizer (nitrifier) 2 = NO_2^- oxidizer (nitrifier) 3 = CH_4 oxidizer 5 = hydrogenotrophic methanogen
K = substrate	0 = coarse woody litter 1 = fine litter 2 = manure 3 = POC 4 = humus 5 = autotrophic	
L = soil layer		
NY = N – S position		

NX = E - W position

The kinetics of these oxidation – reduction reactions are driven by energy yields from electron transfers using parameters taken from basic research e.g. heterotrophs (K = 0,4; N = 1,7):

This is done in 5 steps:

• 1. Respiration

 $- R_{h'k,n} = M_{k,n,a} \{ \mathbf{R_{h'n}} [Q_{k,c}] \} / \{ (\mathbf{K_q} + [Q_{k,c}]) \} f_t$

- $R'_{h,k,n}$: oxidation of DOC by FT *n* in substrate *k* under nonlimiting O₂ (g C m⁻² h⁻¹)
- $M_{k,n,a}$: biomass of active aerobes (g C m⁻²)
- $R'_{h'n}$: specific oxidation by aerobes under nonlimiting DOC, O₂, nutrients, θ and 25°C (0.10 g C g C⁻¹ h⁻¹)
- $[Q_{k,c}]$: aqueous concentration of DOC (g C m⁻³)
- K_q : M-M constant for uptake of $Q_{i,c}$ by heterotrophs (12 g C m⁻³)
- f_t : Arrhenius function of soil temperature for growth-related processes

• 2. O₂ constraint on respiration by aerobic heterotrophs

-
$$R_{hk,n} = R_{h'k,n} (U_{O2k,n} / U'_{O2k,n})$$
 [O₂ constraint]
- $U_{O2k,n} = U'_{O2k,n} [O_{2mk,n}] / ([O_{2mk,n}] + K_{O2})$ [active O₂ uptake]
= $4 \pi n_n M_{k,n,a} D_{sO2} [r_m r_w / (r_w - r_m)] ([O_{2s}] - [O_{2mk,n}]$ [spherical O₂ diffusion]

- $R_{hk,n}$: oxidation of DOC by aerobes under ambient O₂ (g C m⁻² h⁻¹)
- $U_{O2k,n}$: O₂ reduction by aerobes under ambient O₂ (g m⁻² h⁻¹)
- $U'_{O2k,n}$: O₂ reduction by aerobes under nonlimiting O₂ (g m⁻² h⁻¹) • = 2.67 * $R_{h'i,n}$
- $[O_{2mk,n}]:O_2$ concentration at aerobic microsites (g $O_2 m^{-3}$)
- $[O_{2s}]$: aqueous O_2 concentration (g O_2 m⁻³)
- K_{O2} : M–M constant for O₂ reduction (0.064 g O₂ m⁻³)
- n_n : number of aerobic microsites (m⁻²)
- D_{sO2} : aqueous dispersivity–diffusivity of O_2 (m² h⁻¹)
- $r_{\rm m}$: radius of heterotrophic microsite (2.5 x 10⁻⁶ m)
- $r_{\rm w}$: thickness of water films (m)

- 3. Energy from oxidation-reduction
 - $-Y_n = -\Delta \mathbf{G}_n / \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}$
 - $\Delta G'_n$: free energy change of oxidation (kJ g C⁻¹)
 - all aerobes 37.5
 - fermenters 4.50
 - acetotrophic methanogens 3.00
 - H₂trophic methanogens 11.00
 - E_M : energy required to construct new *M* (25 kJ g C⁻¹)

• 4. Uptake of DOC by heterotrophs

$$- U_{k,n,c} = R_{mk,n} + R_{gk,n} (1 + Y_n)$$

- $U_{k,n,c}$: rate of DOC uptake by aerobes (g C m⁻² h⁻¹)
- $R_{mk,f}$: maintenance respiration by aerobes (g C m⁻² h⁻¹)
- $R_{gk,f}$: growth respiration = $R_{hk,n} R_{mk,n}$ (g C m⁻² h⁻¹)
- Y_n : biomass yield from aerobic oxidation (g M_{k,n,c} g DOC⁻¹)

• 5. Growth of heterotrophs

$$- \delta M_{k,n,c} / \delta t = U_{k,n,c} - R_{hk,n} - D_{k,n,c}$$

- $D_{k,n,c}$: decomposition of aerobes (g C m⁻² h⁻¹)
 - partially recycled to $M_{k,n,3}$ depending on $[Q_{k,c}]$ / {($K_q + [Q_{k,c}]$)}
- The resulting value of $M_{k,n,c}$ drives the subsequent calculation of $R_{hk,n}$ in step 1

Anaerobic Fermenters

- **Respiration by fermenters**
 - $R_{i,f} = \{ \mathbf{R'}_f M_{i,f,a} [Q_{i,c}] / (\mathbf{K}_f (1 + [O_{2s}] / \mathbf{K}_i) + [Q_{i,c}]) \} f_t$

 - *R_{i,f}*: oxidation of DOC by fermenters *f* in substrate *i* (g C m⁻² h⁻¹)
 R'_f: specific oxidation of DOC by fermenters at nonlimiting DOC, 25 °C and zero ψ_s (0.1 g C g M_{i,f,a}⁻¹ h⁻¹)
 - $M_{i,f,a}$: biomass of active fermenters (g C m⁻²)
 - $[Q_{ic}]$: aqueous concentration of DOC (g C m⁻³)
 - K_f : M-M constant for uptake of DOC by fermenters (12 g C m⁻³)
 - $[\dot{O}_{2s}]$: aqueous O_2 concentration (g O_2 m⁻³)
 - K_i : inhibition constant for O₂ on fermentation (0.32 g O₂ m⁻³)
 - f_t : Arrhenius function of soil temperature for growth-related processes
- **Oxidation-reduction by** fermenters
 - $1.0 Q_{i,c} \rightarrow 0.67 A_{i,c}$ -C + 0.33 CO₂-C + 0.11 H₂ [by mass] [by mole]
 - $C_6H_{12}O_6 + H_2O \rightarrow 2CH_3COO^- + 2CO_2 + 4H_2$

Anaerobic Fermenters (cont.) Uptake of DOC by fermenters

- $U_{i,f,c} = R_{mi,f} + R_{gi,f} (1.0 + Y_f)$
 - $U_{i,f,c}$: rate of DOC uptake by fermenters (g C m⁻² h⁻¹)
 - $R_{\text{m}i,f}$: maintenance respiration by fermenters (g C m⁻² h⁻¹)
 - $R_{gi,f}$: growth respiration = $R_{i,f} R_{mi,f}$ (g C m⁻² h⁻¹)
 - Y_f : biomass yield from fermentation (g M_{*i*,*f*,*c*} g DOC⁻¹)

• Energy from oxidation-reduction

- $Y_f = -\Delta \mathbf{G}_f / \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}$
- $-\Delta \mathbf{G}_f = \Delta \mathbf{G'}_f + \{R T_s \ln([\mathbf{H}_2]/[\mathbf{H}_2'])^4\}$
 - ΔG_f : free energy change of fermentation at ambient [H₂] (kJ g Q_{*i*,*c*⁻¹)}
 - $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}$: energy required to construct new M (25 kJ g C⁻¹)
 - $\Delta G'_{f}$: free energy change of fermentation when $[H_{2}] = [H_{2}']$ (-4.43 kJ g $Q_{i,c}^{-1}$)
 - $[\mathbf{H}_{2}']$: aqueous concentration of \mathbf{H}_{2} when $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{f} = \Delta \mathbf{G}'_{f}$ (2.0 x 10⁻⁴ g H m⁻³)

Growth of fermenters

- $\delta M_{i,f,c} / \delta t = U_{i,f,c} R_{i,f} D_{i,f,c}$
 - $D_{i,f,c}$: decomposition of fermenters (g C m⁻² h⁻¹)
- The resulting value of $M_{i,f,c}$ drives the subsequent calculation of $R_{i,f}$

Acetotrophic Methanogens

• Respiration by acetotrophs

$$- R_{i,m} = \{ \mathbf{R'}_{m} M_{i,m,a} [A_{i,c}] / (\mathbf{K}_{m} + [A_{i,c}]) \} f_{t}$$

- $R_{i,m}$: oxidation of acetate by acetotrophs *m* in substrate *i* (g C m⁻² h⁻¹)
- R'_m : specific oxidation of acetate by acetotrophs at saturating acetate, 25 °C and zero ψ_s (0.1 g C g $M_{i,m,a}^{-1}$ h⁻¹)
- $M_{i,m,a}$: biomass of active fermenters (g C m⁻²)
- $[A_{i,c}]$: aqueous concentration of acetate (g C m⁻³)
- K_m : M-M constant for uptake of acetate by acetotrophs (12 g C m⁻³)
- f_t : Arrhenius function of soil temperature for growth-related processes
- Oxidation-reduction by acetotrophs
 - $1.0 A_{i,c} \rightarrow 0.50 \text{ CH}_{4i,c}\text{-}\text{C} + 0.50 \text{ CO}_{2i,c}\text{-}\text{C}$
 - $2CH_3COO^- + H_2O \rightarrow 2CH_4 + 2CO_2$

[by mass] [by mole]

Acetotrophic Methanogens (cont.)

• Uptake of acetate by acetotrophic methanogens

 $- U_{i,m,c} = R_{mi,m} + R_{gi,m} (1.0 + Y_m)$

- $U_{i,m,c}$: rate of acetate uptake by acetotrophs (g C m⁻² h⁻¹)
- $R_{\text{m}i,m}$: maintenance respiration by acetotrophs (g C m⁻² h⁻¹)
- $R_{gi,m}$: growth respiration = $R_{i,m} R_{mi,m}$ (g C m⁻² h⁻¹)
- Y_m : biomass yield from acetate oxidation (g M_{*i*,*m*,*c*} g A_{*i*,*c*}⁻¹)
- Energy from oxidation-reduction
 - $-Y_m = -\Delta \mathbf{G}_m / \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}$
 - $\Delta G'_m$: free energy change of acetate oxidation (-1.03 kJ g C⁻¹)
 - E_M : energy required to construct new M (25 kJ g C⁻¹)
- Growth of acetotrophs
 - $\delta M_{i,m,c} / \delta t = U_{i,m,c} R_{i,m} D_{i,m,c}$
 - $D_{i,m,c}$: decomposition of acetotrophs (g C m⁻² h⁻¹)

The resulting value of $M_{i,m,c}$ drives the subsequent calculation of $R_{i,m}$

Autotrophic Methanogens

• Respiration by autotrophic methanogens

 $R_{h} = \{ \mathbf{R'}_{h} M_{h,a} [H_{2}] / (\mathbf{K}_{h} + [H_{2}]) [CO_{2}] / (\mathbf{K}_{c} + [CO_{2}]) \} f_{t}$

- R_h : reduction of CO₂ by autotrophs h (g C m⁻² h⁻¹)
- R'_h : specific reduction of CO₂ by autotrophs at saturating [H₂], [CO₂], 25 °C and zero ψ_s (0.1 g C g $M_{h,a}^{-1}$ h⁻¹)
- $M_{h,a}$: biomass of active autotrophs (g C m⁻²)
- $[H_2]$: aqueous concentration of H_2 (g H m⁻³)
- [CO₂]:aqueous concentration of CO₂ (g C m⁻³)
- K_h : M-M constant for uptake of H₂ by autotrophs (0.01 g H m⁻³)
- K_c : M-M constant for uptake of CO₂ by autotrophs (0.15 g C m⁻³)
- f_t : Arrhenius function of soil temperature for growth-related processes
- Oxidation-reduction by autotrophs
 - − $1.0 \text{ CO}_2\text{-}\text{C} + 0.67 \text{ H}_2 \rightarrow 1.0 \text{ CH}_4\text{-}\text{C}$
 - $CO_2 + 4H_2 \rightarrow CH_4 + 2H_2O$

[by mass] [by mole]

Autotrophic Methanogens(cont.)

• Uptake of CO₂ by autotrophic methanogens

 $- U_{h,c} = R_{mh} + R_{gh} (1.0 + Y_h)$

- $U_{h,c}$: rate of CO₂ uptake by autotrophs h (g C m⁻² h⁻¹)
- $R_{\rm mh}$: maintenance respiration by autotrophs (g C m⁻² h⁻¹)
- R_{gh} : growth respiration = $R_h R_{mh}$ (g C m⁻² h⁻¹)
- Y_h : biomass yield from CO₂ reduction (g M_{h,c} g CO₂-C⁻¹)

• Energy from oxidation-reduction

- $Y_h = -\Delta \mathbf{G}_h / \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}$
- $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{h} = \Delta \mathbf{G'}_{h} \{R T_{s} \ln([\mathbf{H}_{2}]/[\mathbf{H}_{2'}])^{4}\}$
 - ΔG_h : free energy change of CO₂ reduction at ambient [H₂] (kJ g CO₂-C⁻¹)
 - E_M : energy required to construct new M (25 kJ g C⁻¹)
 - $\Delta G'_h$: free energy change of CO₂ reduction when [H₂] = [H₂'] (-0.27 kJ g CO₂-C⁻¹)
 - $[H_2']$: aqueous concentration of H_2 when $\Delta G_h = \Delta G'_h (2.0 \times 10^{-4} \text{ g H m}^{-3})$

Growth of autotrophs

- $\delta M_{h,c}/\delta t = U_{h,c} R_h D_{h,c}$
 - $D_{h,c}$: decomposition of autotrophs (g C m⁻² h⁻¹)
- The resulting value of $M_{h,c}$ drives the subsequent calculation of R_h

Aerobic Methanotrophs **Respiration by aerobic methanotrophs**

$- R'_{t} = M_{t,a} \{ R'_{t} [CH_{4}] \} / \{ (K_{t} + [CH_{4}]) \} f_{t}$

- R'_t : CH₄ oxidation by methanotrophs *t* under nonlimiting O₂ (g C m⁻² h⁻¹)
- $M_{t,a}$: biomass of active methanotrophs (g C m⁻²)
- R'_t : specific CH₄ oxidation under nonlimiting CH₄, O₂, nutrients, θ and 25°C (0.33 g C g C⁻¹ h⁻¹)
- [CH₄]:aqueous concentration of CH₄ (g C m⁻³)
- K_t : M-M constant for uptake of CH₄ by methanotrophs (0.0012 g C m⁻³)
- f_t : Arrhenius function of soil temperature for growth-related processes

Aerobic Methanotrophs (cont.) O₂ constraint on respiration by aerobic methanotrophs

-
$$R_t = R'_t (U_{O2t} / U'_{O2t})$$

- $U_{O2t} = U'_{O2t} [O_{2mt}] / ([O_{2mt}] + K_{O2})$
= $4 \pi n_t M_{t,a} D_{sO2} [r_m r_w / (r_w - r_m)]([O_{2s}] - [O_{2mt}])$

[O₂ constraint] [active O₂ uptake] [radial O₂ diffusion]

- R_t : CH₄ oxidation by methanotrophs *t* under ambient O₂ (g C m⁻² h⁻¹)
- U_{O2t} : O₂ reduction by $M_{t,a}$ under ambient O₂ (g m⁻² h⁻¹)
- U'_{O2t} : O₂ reduction by $M_{t,a}$ under nonlimiting O₂ (g m⁻² h⁻¹) = 2.67 R'_t
- $[O_{2mt}]:O_2$ concentration at methanotrophic microsites (g O_2 m⁻³)
- $[O_{2s}]$: aqueous O_2 concentration (g O_2 m⁻³)
- K_{O2} : M–M constant for O₂ reduction (0.064 g O₂ m⁻³)
- n_t : number of methanotrophic microsites (m⁻²)
- \dot{D}_{sO2} : aqueous dispersivity–diffusivity of O₂ (m² h⁻¹)
- $r_{\rm m}$: radius of heterotrophic microsite (2.5 x 10⁻⁶ m)
- $r_{\rm w}$: thickness of water films (m)

• Oxidation-reduction by methanotrophs

- 1.0 CH_4 -C + 4.0 O_2 → 1.0 CO_2 -C + 0.167 H^+

[by mass]

[by mole]

• $CH_4 + 1.5 O_2 \rightarrow CO_2 + H_2O + 2H^+$

Aerobic Methanotrophs(cont.)

• Uptake of CH₄ by methanotrophs

-
$$U_{t,c} = R_{mt} + R_{gt} (1 + Y_t)$$

- $U_{t,c}$: rate of CH_4 uptake by methanotrophs (g C m⁻² h⁻¹) R_{mt} : maintenance respiration by methanotrophs (g C m⁻² h⁻¹) R_{gt} : growth respiration = $R_{ht} R_{mt}$ (g C m⁻² h⁻¹) Y_t : biomass yield from CH_4 oxidation (g M_{t,c} g CH₄-C⁻¹)

Energy from oxidation-reduction

- $Y_t = -\Delta G_t / E_M Y_b$
 - ΔG'_t: free energy change of CH₄ oxidation (37.5 kJ g C⁻¹)
 E_M: energy required to construct new M (25 kJ g C⁻¹)
 Y_b: biomass yield from CH₄ oxidation (0.45 g C g C⁻¹)

Growth of methanotrophs

$$\delta M_{t,c} / \delta t = U_{t,c} - R_t - D_{t,c}$$

• $D_{t,c}$: decomposition of methanotrophs (g C m⁻² h⁻¹)

- The resulting value of $M_{t,c}$ drives the subsequent calculation of R_t

Transport of reactants and products of oxidation-reduction reactions

- All soluble reactants and products of oxidationreduction reactions undergo convectivedispersive transport in aqueous phases of the soil
- All gaseous reactants and products of oxidation-reduction reactions undergo convective-dispersive transport in gaseous phases of the soil and roots

Winter

Summer





Modeling protocols Representative soil physical properties of Palanga Karaya Peat Swamp forest, Indonesia used in ecosys

Peat type	D _{hum} (m)	D _{hol} (m)	BD_{dry} (Mg m- ³)	Ө_{-0.002МРа} (m ³ m ⁻³)	Ө_{-0.01МРа} (m ³ m ⁻³)	Ө.<u>1.5МР</u>а (т ³ т ⁻³)	K _s (mm h ⁻¹)
Fibric	0-0.2		0.149	0.410	0.287	0.211	25.00
Hemic	0.2-0.4	0-0.2	0.199	0.711	0.514	0.406	25.00
Sapric	0.4-4.0	0.2-3.8	0.251	0.749	0.512	0.286	25.00

Number of layers in fibric peat: 4, hemic: 2 and sapric: 10; total layers: 16

 D_{hum} = Depth to the bottom of a layer in hummock grid cells; D_{hol} = Depth to the bottom of a layer in hollow grid cells; BD_{drv} = Dry bulk density; θ = volumetric soil water content; K_s = saturated hydraulic conductivity



Elevation of water table H1 relative to that of external water table H2 is that at which discharge D equilibrates with P – ET - runoff

Modeling protocols contd.

A 60-year spin up run using repeated weather cycles of 2002-2005 recorded at the Palanga Karaya Peat Swamp forest

- An under- and an over-storey evergreen vegetation were simulated by using plant physiological parameters described in Grant et al. 2009 with the following species specific features
- Root porosity = 0.17 (Tanaka et al. 2011)
 - A determines O₂ transport to the roots of the modeled plant species through aeranchyma
- Plant osmotic potential at full turgor = -1.13 MPa (Naiola and Osaki 2000)
 - not particularly drought-resistant



2002 was an El Nino year with a long dry season that caused soil drying and a low water table



The dry season in 2003 was less intense, with some soil rewetting and a slower decline in the water table



The dry season 2004 was even wetter, with less soil drying and a later decline in the water table



The dry season in 2005 was interrupted by several rainfall events, causing less soil drying and decline in the water table



Seasonal changes in energy fluxes were caused by changes in canopy water potentials and conductances

Summary

- The three hydroperiods proposed in our hypotheses enabled us to model:
 - Slightly lower water status caused by flooding stress during the rainy seasons, likely caused by slower nutrient uptake.
 - Optimum water status during the early dry seasons.
 - Lower water status during the later dry seasons caused by water stress due to inadequate recharge of near surface peat layers through capillary rise.

The duration of these hydroperiods varies from year to year.
These durations will likely affect peatland productivity.



The peatland is a small C source during the rainy season, near C neutral during the early dry season, and a large C source during the late dry season



The seasonality of these C sources and sinks became less pronounced with less intense dry seasons



Dry season C sources were caused by earlier midafternoon declines in CO₂ uptake and by increases in CO₂ emissions during nights The model explained ~ 80% of variation in measured CO_2 fluxes with slopes close to one during each year, in spite of varying precipitation

Year	Precip'n (mmy ⁻¹)	n	<i>a</i> ‡	b ‡	R 2‡	<i>RMSD</i> †	RMSE
2002	1852	3007	0.57	0.98	0.77	5.7	5.5
2003	2291	2595	0.56	1.00	0.82	5.0	5.9
2004	2560	3299	0.88	1.00	0.83	5.0	5.8
2005	2620	3164	1.50	1.05	0.81	5.2	5.6

RMSE (root mean square for errors due to measurements) are calculated from EC data using the equation of Richardson et al. 2006



Closses declined as the intensity and duration of the dry seasons decreased from 2002 to 2005

Summary: Effects of hydrology on NEP

During the first hydroperiod:
 Shallow WT: NEP = GPP - RE

During the second hydroperiod:
Intermediate WT: NEP = GPP - RE

During the third hydroperiod:
Deeper WT: NEP = GPP - RE

How does drainage affect gas exchange in peatland?



Drainage caused reoxygenation of the soil above the drainage depth



Both influxes and effluxes of CO₂ rose gradually during the years following drainage



Reoxygenation suppressed fermentation, methanogenesis, hastened methanotrophy



Model test of CH_4 emissions from polygonal tundra near Barrow, Alaska 20 = 3(a)



(a) Air temperature (T_a) and precipitation, and (b) CH₄ flux measured during 2014 (symbols) and modelled during 2084 (2014) of the baseline run (line). In (b) positive values represent influxes, and negative values effluxes. Measured fluxes from Zona et al. (2015).

For application of *ecosys* in a polygonal tundra landscape, see:

Grant, R. F., Mekonnen, Z. A., Riley, W. J., Wainwright, H. M., Graham, D. & Torn, M. S. (2017). Mathematical modelling of arctic polygonal tundra with *ecosys*: 1. Microtopography determines how active layer depths respond to changes in temperature and precipitation. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, 122, 3161–3173. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JG004035</u>

Grant, R. F., Mekonnen, Z. A., Riley, W. J., Arora, B. & Torn, M. S. (2017). Mathematical modelling of arctic polygonal tundra with *ecosys*: 2. Microtopography determines how CO_2 and CH_4 exchange responds to changes in temperature and precipitation. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, 122, 3174–3187. https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JG004037